

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1980 of 1984

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : YES
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
SHANTABEN WD/O SHANTILAL K PANCHAL

Versus

HIRABEN MANILAL WD/O MANILAL MAGANLAL  
-----

Appearance:

MR MD PANDYA for Petitioners

MR DD VYAS for Respondent No. 1  
-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 11/02/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Learned counsel for the respondent states that he has received instructions in writing from the trial court advocate that the parties have settled their differences outside court and therefore the present revision should be disposed of accordingly. Ms. Desai on behalf of the

learned counsel for the petitioner states that she requires time to obtain confirmation. In view of the fact that this is a very old matter and has been placed on the special final hearing board for today Friday, the 11th February 2000 on special instructions of the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, I do not feel it appropriate to adjourn the matter on this small point.

3. Accordingly the petition is disposed of and rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Ad interim relief stands vacated.

4. Liberty to apply in case of difficulty.

\*\*\*\*\*